## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

	licant's or agent's file reference 55-PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416			
International application No. PCT/JP2004/012223		International filing date (day/month/yea. 19.08.2004	Priority date (day/month/year) 19.08.2003			
	rnational Patent Classification (IPC) o 1D1/76, C23C2/06, C21D8/04	r national classification and IPC				
	olicant PPON STEEL CORPORATION	N et al.				
1.	This report is the international Authority under Article 35 and	preliminary examination report, establis transmitted to the applicant according to	hed by this International Preliminary Examining of Article 36.			
2.	This REPORT consists of a tot	al of 5 sheets, including this cover she	et.			
3.	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:					
	a. $\square$ sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of sheets, as follows:					
	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).					
	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.					
	b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).					
	Box Relating to Dequence Listing (600 Costion 602 or are reasonable and any or are reasonable and are reason					
		This report contains indications relating to the following items:				
4.	This report contains indication	s relating to the following items:				
4.	•					
4.	<ul><li>☑ Box No. I Basis of the</li><li>☐ Box No. II Priority</li></ul>	opinion				
4.	<ul><li>☑ Box No. I Basis of the</li><li>☐ Box No. II Priority</li></ul>	opinion	, inventive step and industrial applicability			
4.	<ul> <li>☑ Box No. I</li> <li>☐ Box No. II</li> <li>☐ Box No. III</li> <li>☐ Non-establis</li> <li>☐ Box No. IV</li> <li>☐ Lack of unity</li> </ul>	opinion shment of opinion with regard to novelty y of invention				
4.	<ul> <li>☑ Box No. I</li> <li>☐ Box No. II</li> <li>☐ Box No. III</li> <li>☐ Box No. IV</li> <li>☐ Lack of unity</li> <li>☑ Box No. V</li> <li>☐ Reasoned s</li> </ul>	opinion shment of opinion with regard to novelty of invention tatement under Article 35(2) with regard	d to novelty, inventive step or industrial			
4.	<ul> <li>☑ Box No. I</li> <li>☐ Box No. II</li> <li>☐ Box No. III</li> <li>☐ Box No. IV</li> <li>☐ Lack of unity</li> <li>☑ Box No. V</li> <li>☐ Reasoned sapplicability</li> </ul>	opinion shment of opinion with regard to novelty y of invention	d to novelty, inventive step or industrial			
4.	<ul> <li>☑ Box No. I</li> <li>☐ Box No. II</li> <li>☐ Box No. III</li> <li>☐ Box No. IV</li> <li>☑ Box No. V</li> <li>☐ Box No. V</li> <li>☐ Box No. VI</li> <li>☐ Box No. VI</li> <li>☐ Certain doct</li> </ul>	opinion shment of opinion with regard to novelty y of invention tatement under Article 35(2) with regard to citations and explanations supporting	d to novelty, inventive step or industrial			
4	<ul> <li>Box No. I</li> <li>Box No. II</li> <li>Box No. III</li> <li>Box No. IV</li> <li>Box No. IV</li> <li>Box No. V</li> <li>Box No. V</li> <li>Box No. VI</li> <li>Box No. VI</li> <li>Certain doce</li> <li>Box No. VII</li> <li>Certain defer</li> </ul>	opinion shment of opinion with regard to novelty of invention tatement under Article 35(2) with regard citations and explanations supporting suments cited	d to novelty, inventive step or industrial such statement			
	<ul> <li>Box No. I</li> <li>Box No. II</li> <li>Box No. III</li> <li>Box No. IV</li> <li>Box No. IV</li> <li>Box No. V</li> <li>Box No. V</li> <li>Box No. VI</li> <li>Box No. VI</li> <li>Certain doce</li> <li>Box No. VII</li> <li>Certain defer</li> </ul>	opinion shment of opinion with regard to novelty y of invention tatement under Article 35(2) with regard citations and explanations supporting suments cited ects in the international application ervations on the international application	d to novelty, inventive step or industrial such statement			
Da	<ul> <li>Box No. I</li> <li>Box No. II</li> <li>Box No. III</li> <li>Box No. IV</li> <li>Box No. IV</li> <li>Lack of unity</li> <li>Box No. V</li> <li>Reasoned sapplicability</li> <li>Box No. VI</li> <li>Certain doce</li> <li>Box No. VII</li> <li>Certain defendance</li> <li>Box No. VIII</li> <li>Certain obs</li> </ul>	opinion shment of opinion with regard to novelty y of invention tatement under Article 35(2) with regard citations and explanations supporting suments cited ects in the international application ervations on the international application	d to novelty, inventive step or industrial such statement			
Da 16	Box No. I Basis of the Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establis Box No. IV Lack of unity Box No. V Reasoned s applicability Box No. VI Certain docs Box No. VII Certain defers Box No. VIII Certain observed of submission of the demand	opinion shment of opinion with regard to novelty y of invention tatement under Article 35(2) with regard citations and explanations supporting suments cited ects in the international application ervations on the international application  Date of com	d to novelty, inventive step or industrial such statement  n  npletion of this report			
Da 16	Box No. I Basis of the Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establis Box No. IV Lack of unity Box No. V Reasoned s applicability Box No. VI Certain docs Box No. VII Certain deferment of submission of the demand  6.03.2005  Box No. VIII Certain observed and mailing address of the internelliminary examining authority: European Patent Office	opinion shment of opinion with regard to novelty y of invention tatement under Article 35(2) with regard citations and explanations supporting suments cited ects in the international application ervations on the international application  Date of com  10.08.200 ational  Authorized	d to novelty, inventive step or industrial such statement  n  npletion of this report  Officer			
Da 16	Box No. I Basis of the Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establis Box No. IV Lack of unity Box No. V Reasoned s applicability Box No. VI Certain docs Box No. VII Certain defers Box No. VIII Certain observed of submission of the demand	opinion  shment of opinion with regard to novelty y of invention tatement under Article 35(2) with regard citations and explanations supporting suments cited ects in the international application ervations on the international application  Date of com  10.08.200 ational Authorized  Lilimpakis	d to novelty, inventive step or industrial such statement  n  npletion of this report  Officer			

10/568997

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

IAPZO ROS'O PCT/PTO 1 6 FEB 2006 International application No. PCT/JP2004/012223

_	Box No. I	Basis of the report		
1.	With regard filed, unless	With regard to the <b>language</b> , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it wa filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.		
	which is ☐ interi ☐ publi	ort is based on translations from the original language into the following language, the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: national search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) cation of the international application (under Rule 12.4) national preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)		
2.	With regard to the <b>elements*</b> of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):			
	Description,	Pages Pages		
	1-21	as originally filed		
	Claims, Num	bers		
	1-6	as originally filed		
	Drawings, St	neets		
	1/2-2/2	as originally filed		
	□ a seque	nce listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing		
3.	☐ the d☐ the d☐ the d☐ the d☐	endments have resulted in the cancellation of: lescription, pages claims, Nos. Irawings, sheets/figs requence listing (specify): reable(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
4.	had not bee Supplement  the control the control the control the control the control the second the	fort has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below in made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the al Box (Rule 70.2(c)).  Iteration, pages claims, Nos.  Iterating, sheets/figs claims, sheets/figs claims (specify): table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
	* If ite	m 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."		

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/012223

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 1-3

No: Claims 4,5

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims 1-3

No: Claims 4,5

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-5

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

#### Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

#### Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

# IAP20 Res'd PCTATTO 16 FEB 2005

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/JP2004/012223

International application No.

#### Re Item V

(

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1. Reference is made to the following documents:
- D1: PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 2002, no. 02, 2 April 2002 (2002-04-02) & JP 2001 279412 A (NIPPON STEEL CORP), 10 October 2001 (2001-10-10)
- D2: PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 0041, no. 35 (C-025), 20 September 1980 (1980-09-20) & JP 55 085622 A (NIPPON KOKAN KK <NKK>; others: 01), 27 June 1980 (1980-06-27)
- D3: US 2003/047255 A1 (DELAUNAY DIDIER ET AL) 13 March 2003 (2003-03-13)
- 2. The simultaneous control of the claimed parameters in order to promote the internal Si oxidation and thus forming an inner oxide, while controlling the environment oxidation is theoretically revealed in D3 (par.9,10,17,24-27). D1 or D2 pertain exact measures, in particular the partial pressures control for H<sub>2</sub>O as log(PH<sub>2</sub>O/PH<sub>2</sub>) in relation to Si content. D2 reveals the control of CO/CO<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O during annealing for a Zn-plated steel sheet to avoid oxidation.
- 3. Even combining the teachings of at least D1 with D2, the skilled person could not arrive to the claimed features, in particular who to influence the internal oxidation of Si with respect to wetting ability during hot dip Zn-plating.

  The claimed process (Claim 1) is not revealed or rendered obvious from any of the cited documents, it is therefore novel (Art.33(2) PCT) and inventive (Art.33(3) PCT).
  - The same is mutatis-mutandis applied for claims 2 and 3.
- 4. Given the clarity objections in item VIII/3, claims 4 and 5 cannot be acknowledged as new or inventive (Art.33(2) and (3) PCT). The sole apparatus features are:
  - a radiant heating furnace,
  - an apparatus for introducing or burning hydrocarbons and introducing the products, and
  - a continuous galvannealing line.
  - Thus, apart from the controlling parameters dictated in the process claims (and which are not restrictive for an apparatus claim), the above features are per se

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/012223

either alone or in combination known (D2). In any apparatus of the same type there is a precise control for the introduction of gases.

#### Re Item VII

#### Certain defects in the international application

- 1. In the claims and the entire description the term "log(PCO<sub>2</sub>/PH<sub>2</sub>) of the water partial pressure and the hydrogen partial...." appears not correct.
- 2. The prior art must be cited and the description with the corrections should be accordingly adapted.

#### Re Item VIII

#### Certain observations on the international application

- 1. The subject-matter of claim 1 should define that the process takes place "in a all radiant heating annealing furnace" (essential feature).
- 2. The term "effective AI % concentration" (claims 2 and 3) is not clear in its meaning. The Applicant must shed light to what he means. No upper limit is given in claims 2 or 3, in contrast to p.13 (AI <0.092 %).
- 3. Both claims 4 and 5 relate to an "equipment" or "system" for performing the process of claims 1-3, however, the apparatus claims disclose features of the process per se which do not delimit the said apparatus or equipment from any similar ones.